

Teval Report: Student Ratings of Instruction

EVAL Teval Report: Student Ratings of menderson. Center for the Advancement of Teaching and Learning | Kansas State University

Faculty Member: Murdie, Amanda

Hr./Days: 0 Online

College: Arts & Sciences

Course #: POLSC 814 Term: Spring 2011

Offered: 04/26/11 - 05/10/11

Responses from 16 of the 18 enrolled (89%)

Overall Effectiveness								
	Nu	Number Responding [VL=1, VH=5]			Statistics			
	VL	L	М	Н	VH	OMIT	SD ¹	AVG
Obtained Responses								
1. Overall effectiveness as a teacher	0	0	1	3	12	0	0.6	4.7
11. Increased desire to learn about the subject	0	0	1	3	12	0	0.6	4.7
14. Amount learned in the course	0	0	0	3	13	0	0.4	4.8
		Statistics			Comparative Status ²			
		Raw			Adjuste	d³	Raw	Adjusted ³
Averages and Comparative Status								
1. Overall effectiveness as a teacher		4.7			4.4		Н	HM
11. Increased desire to learn about the subject		4.7			4.3		Н	HM
14. Amount learned in the course		4.8			4.5		Н	Н

Ratings of Student Attributes and Instructional Styles									
	Nu	Number Responding [VL=1, VH=5]					Statistics		
	VL	L	М	н	VH	OMIT	SD ¹	AVG	
Relevant Student Attributes									
12. Interest in the course before enrolling	0	0	1	6	9	0	0.6	4.5	
13. Effort to learn in the course	0	0	1	6	9	0	0.6	4.5	
Instructional Styles									
A. Establishing a Learning Climate									
2. Made the course goals and objectives clear	0	0	1	2	13	0	0.6	4.8	
3. Well prepared for class	0	0	1	0	15	0	0.5	4.9	
5. Interest in helping students learn	0	0	1	2	13	0	0.6	4.8	
10. Willingness to help outside of class	0	0	3	1	11	1	0.8	4.5	
B. Facilitating Student Learning									
4. Explained the subject clearly	0	1	0	3	12	0	0.8	4.6	
6. Stimulated thinking about the subject	0	0	2	4	10	0	0.7	4.5	
7. Made helpful comments on student work	0	0	1	3	12	0	0.6	4.7	
8. Grading procedures fair and equitable	0	0	1	2	13	0	0.6	4.8	
9. Realized when students did not understand	0	1	2	1	11	1	1.0	4.5	

Instructor's Description of Class

A. Type of class	Lecture,Seminar
B. Class size	About right
C. Physical facilities	
D. Previously taught this course?	1
E. Approach significantly different this term?	Yes
F. Description of teaching load?	Very heavy
G. Attitude toward teaching this course	I didn't mind- was neutral
H. Control of course decisions	Yes- I was responsible for all decisions
I. Differences in student preparation	A major problem
J. Student enthusiasm	Mixed; both high and low
K. Student effort to learn	Variable; sometimes high, sometimes low
L. Additional comments?	No additional comments

¹ STANDARD DEVIATION

² RELATIVE TO KSU CLASSES RATED BY 10 OR MORE STUDENTS: H=UPPER 10%; HM=NEXT 20%; M=MIDDLE 40%; LM=NEXT 20%; L=LOWEST 10%

³ ADJUSTED FOR STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS & CLASS SIZE: SEE TEVAL GUIDE



Center for the Advancement of Teaching and Learning | Kansas State University

Faculty Member: Murdie, Amanda

College: Arts & Sciences

Course #: POLSC 814

Term: Spring 2011

Hr./Days: 0 Online -

	-	

Distance Courses									
		1	2	3	4	5	OMIT	SD	AVG
1.1	Responsiveness to your communications and correspondence.	0	0	1	1	14	0	0.5	4.8
1.2	Timely return of graded materials.	0	0	0	2	14	0	0.3	4.9
1.3	Enthusiasm for distance learning.	1	0	1	2	12	0	1.1	4.5
1.4	6		0	1	2	13	0	0.6	4.8
1.5	1.5 Effective use of technology to deliver course content.		0	1	1	14	0	0.5	4.8
1.6 Effective use of technology to encourage communication and discussion.		0	0	2	0	14	0	0.7	4.8
	1 = Very Low 2 = Low 3 = Medium 4 = H	ligh	5 = Ver	y High					
		1	2	3	4	5	OMIT	SD	AVG
2.1	Your enthusiasm for distance learning.	1	0	3	9	3	0	0.9	3.8
2.2 Your effort to prepare for this distance learning course (for example completing the readiness test for your computer, reading your Course Information Packet, and becoming familiar with the syllabus).		0	0	1	9	6	0	0.6	4.3
1 = Very Low 2 = Low 3 = Medium 4 = High 5 = Very High									

Additional Comments						
1.	Additional Comments					
	Excellent professor with excellent teaching methods! Wish I took more courses from her!					
	• Dr. Murdi was simply great in her teaching style. The course felt like an in classroom course due to the interractive nature!					

question continued on next page



Center for the Advancement of Teaching and Learning | Kansas State University

Faculty Member: Murdie, A	Amanda	Course #: POLSC 814
Hr./Days: 0 Online	College: Arts & Sciences	Term: Spring 2011
figure out the key lea "How would you expl program. The record invest in some highe 2011 technology at k	well organized and progressed in a very logical manner. My ch rning objectives in each module. It is not presented in the powe ain this to my grandmother?" but the response should be on th ed lecture was a valuable resource however if K-state is seriou r tech recording and editing technology (2003 technology at UT (-state)I really wish K-state would spend less time worrying about time worrying about how to provide quality instruction to studer	erpoint slides. You do have the question the powerpointespecially in a distance is about distance learning they need to If at San Antonio is light years ahead of but how many articles the professors get
readings materials; a	-line course I've ever taken. Great use of the videos from the p lways stimulating. Good use of questions for our on-line assign would from an online class.	previous class discussions. Excellent nments. Great class overall. I learned far
 Great job by an exce only tangentially relation 	llent professor. My only criticism is that we spent a lot of time of ted to the course topic. Creative and efficient use of distance le so active in research and publication, a rare gem in a graduate	earning technology. Clearly very interested
 Outstanding teacher 	and a great help.	
 I believe this was the understand yet just a 	ideal class to take for distance learning, as Dr. Murdie made the schallenging as I would expect a graduate class to be. My distered between my profession and the classroom. I would prefer in the scheme scheme between my profession and the classroom.	may over distance learning is my own
 Taking this course w knowledgeable in the can take all courses 	vas a requirement, but taking it with Dr. Murdie was a privilege. e subject and always willing to help a student.She has enormou from Dr. Murdie.	She was very motivational, very usly raised my interest in the field. I wish I
boring. When I wrote video lectures were r	hat I expected. I did learn quite a bit but the weekly reading of a my paper, the instructor would comment that I really didn't ans not good and I quit watching them halfway through the course. I activities and assignments.	swer the question when I think I had. The
	good teacher, she is always prepared for class and provides tim	nely and relevant feedback.
 I have already recommendation 	mended this class to some of my friends who are in the progra sm and timely feedback are impressive.	
I would have liked m	ss videos were a great help. ore feedback on responses to questions to spur more discussion uctions on whether or not we needed to comment on one of eac	