

Teval Report: Student Ratings of Instruction

EVALTeval Report: Student Ratings of menders.
Center for the Advancement of Teaching and Learning | Kansas State University

Faculty Member: Murdie, Amanda

Hr./Days: 1130 TU

College: Arts & Sciences

Term: Fall 2011

Offered: In Class

Course #: POLSC333

Responses from 76 of the 113 enrolled (67%)

Overall Effectiveness									
	Nu	Number Responding [VL=1, VH=5]						Statistics	
	VL	L	Μ	Н	VH	OMIT	SD ¹	AVG	
Obtained Responses									
1. Overall effectiveness as a teacher	0	0	8	23	45	0	0.7	4.5	
11. Increased desire to learn about the subject	2	4	7	17	45	1	1.0	4.3	
14. Amount learned in the course	2	3	9	24	37	1	1.0	4.2	
		Statistics						Comparative Status ²	
		Raw Adjusted ³		d³	Raw	Adjusted ³			
Averages and Comparative Status									
1. Overall effectiveness as a teacher		4.5			4.6		HM	HM	
11. Increased desire to learn about the subject		4.3			4.4		HM	HM	
14. Amount learned in the course		4.2			4.2		HM	HM	

	Nu	Number Responding [VL=1, VH=5]						Statistics	
	VL	L	М	н	VH	ΟΜΙΤ	SD ¹	AVG	
Relevant Student Attributes									
12. Interest in the course before enrolling	1	2	20	25	27	1	0.9	4.0	
13. Effort to learn in the course	1	2	10	35	27	1	0.8	4.1	
Instructional Styles									
A. Establishing a Learning Climate									
2. Made the course goals and objectives clear	0	0	1	24	51	0	0.5	4.7	
3. Well prepared for class	0	0	1	16	59	0	0.5	4.8	
5. Interest in helping students learn	0	1	4	18	53	0	0.6	4.6	
10. Willingness to help outside of class	0	0	3	20	53	0	0.6	4.7	
B. Facilitating Student Learning									
4. Explained the subject clearly	0	2	7	25	42	0	0.8	4.4	
6. Stimulated thinking about the subject	1	1	8	16	50	0	0.8	4.5	
7. Made helpful comments on student work	0	4	21	20	31	0	0.9	4.0	
8. Grading procedures fair and equitable	0	0	7	19	50	0	0.7	4.6	
9. Realized when students did not understand	2	3	13	26	32	0	1.0	4.1	

Instructor's Description of Class

A. Type of class	Lecture
B. Class size	Much too large
C. Physical facilities	Unsuitable
D. Previously taught this course?	2-3
E. Approach significantly different this term?	No
F. Description of teaching load?	Very heavy
G. Attitude toward teaching this course	I didn't mind- was neutral
H. Control of course decisions	Partially- Some decisions were made by a department committee
I. Differences in student preparation	A major problem
J. Student enthusiasm	Low
K. Student effort to learn	Variable; sometimes high, sometimes low
L. Additional comments?	No additional comments

¹ STANDARD DEVIATION

² RELATIVE TO KSU CLASSES RATED BY 10 OR MORE STUDENTS: H=UPPER 10%; HM=NEXT 20%; M=MIDDLE 40%; LM=NEXT 20%; L=LOWEST 10%

³ ADJUSTED FOR STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS & CLASS SIZE: SEE TEVAL GUIDE