

#### **Teval Report: Student Ratings of Instruction**

**EVAL**Teval Report: Student Ratings of menders.
Center for the Advancement of Teaching and Learning | Kansas State University

Faculty Member: Murdie, Amanda

Hr./Days: 330 MTWUF

# College: Arts & Sciences

Course #: POLSC 991B

Offered: 07/26/11 - 07/31/11

Term: Summer 2011

Responses from 7 of the 9 enrolled (78%)

Overall Effectiveness									
	Nu	Number Responding [VL=1, VH=5]						Statistics	
	VL	L	М	Н	VH	OMIT	SD <sup>1</sup>	AVG	
Obtained Responses									
1. Overall effectiveness as a teacher	0	0	0	1	6	0	0.3	4.9	
11. Increased desire to learn about the subject	0	0	0	1	6	0	0.3	4.9	
14. Amount learned in the course	0	0	0	2	5	0	0.5	4.7	
		Statistics						Comparative Status <sup>2</sup>	
		Raw	Adjusted <sup>3</sup>		Raw	Adjusted <sup>3</sup>			
Averages and Comparative Status									
1. Overall effectiveness as a teacher		4.9			4.4		Н	HM	
11. Increased desire to learn about the subject		4.9			4.1		Н	HM	
14. Amount learned in the course		4.7			4.0		Н	М	

	Number Responding [VL=1, VH=5]						Statistics	
	VL	L	М	н	VH	OMIT	SD <sup>1</sup>	AVG
Relevant Student Attributes								
12. Interest in the course before enrolling	0	0	0	1	6	0	0.3	4.9
13. Effort to learn in the course	0	0	0	1	6	0	0.3	4.9
nstructional Styles								
A. Establishing a Learning Climate								
2. Made the course goals and objectives clear	0	0	0	0	7	0	0.0	5.0
3. Well prepared for class	0	0	0	1	6	0	0.3	4.9
5. Interest in helping students learn	0	0	0	0	7	0	0.0	5.0
10. Willingness to help outside of class	0	0	0	0	7	0	0.0	5.0
B. Facilitating Student Learning								
4. Explained the subject clearly	0	0	0	1	6	0	0.3	4.9
6. Stimulated thinking about the subject	0	0	0	1	6	0	0.3	4.9
7. Made helpful comments on student work	0	0	0	3	4	0	0.5	4.6
8. Grading procedures fair and equitable	0	0	0	1	6	0	0.3	4.9
9. Realized when students did not understand	0	0	1	1	5	0	0.7	4.6

#### Instructor's Description of Class

A. Type of class	Seminar, Skills/Activity, Laboratory
B. Class size	About right
C. Physical facilities	Unsuitable
D. Previously taught this course?	None
E. Approach significantly different this term?	Yes
F. Description of teaching load?	Very heavy
G. Attitude toward teaching this course	I didn't mind- was neutral
H. Control of course decisions	Partially- Some decisions were made by a department committee
I. Differences in student preparation	A major problem
J. Student enthusiasm	Low
K. Student effort to learn	Variable; sometimes high, sometimes low
L. Additional comments?	No additional comments

<sup>1</sup> STANDARD DEVIATION

<sup>2</sup> RELATIVE TO KSU CLASSES RATED BY 10 OR MORE STUDENTS: H=UPPER 10%; HM=NEXT 20%; M=MIDDLE 40%; LM=NEXT 20%; L=LOWEST 10%

<sup>3</sup> ADJUSTED FOR STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS & CLASS SIZE: SEE TEVAL GUIDE



**EVAL** Teval Report: Student Natings 5, men and Learning | Kansas State University

Faculty Member: Murdie, Amanda

Hr./Days: 330 MTWUF

## College: Arts & Sciences

Course #: POLSC 991B

Term: Summer 2011

### **Additional Comments**

1.

- Additional Comments
  - Dr. Murdie is the best of the best!
  - Of the four sections, Dr. Murdie's was the most clear and understandable. She is an excellent teacher of quantitative methods.
  - Use more textbooks rather than journal articles
  - Dr.Murdie is a phenomenal teacher. I have learnt so much from her. This course has been very useful.