

Teval Report: Student Ratings of Instruction

EVALTeval Report: Student Ratings of menders.
Center for the Advancement of Teaching and Learning | Kansas State University

Faculty Member: Murdie, Amanda

Hr./Days: 0 Online

College: Arts & Sciences

Course #: POLSC 333 Term: Spring 2011

Offered: 04/26/11 - 05/10/11

Responses from 16 of the 27 enrolled (59%)

Overall Effectiveness										
	Nu	Number Responding [VL=1, VH=5]					Sta	tistics		
	VL	L	М	Н	VH	OMIT	SD ¹	AVG		
Obtained Responses										
1. Overall effectiveness as a teacher	0	1	0	8	7	0	0.8	4.3		
11. Increased desire to learn about the subject	0	1	4	5	6	0	0.9	4.0		
14. Amount learned in the course	0	0	3	8	5	0	0.7	4.1		
		Statistics					Compara	parative Status ²		
		Raw Adjusted ³				Raw	Adjusted ³			
Averages and Comparative Status										
1. Overall effectiveness as a teacher		4.3			4.4		HM	HM		
11. Increased desire to learn about the subject		4.0			4.2		М	HM		
14. Amount learned in the course		4.1			4.4		М	HM		

	Nu	Number Responding [VL=1, VH=5]					Stat	Statistics		
	VL	L	М	Н	VH	OMIT	SD ¹	AVG		
Relevant Student Attributes										
12. Interest in the course before enrolling	0	2	9	2	3	0	0.9	3.4		
13. Effort to learn in the course	0	0	6	8	2	0	0.7	3.8		
nstructional Styles										
A. Establishing a Learning Climate										
2. Made the course goals and objectives clear	0	0	1	7	8	0	0.6	4.4		
3. Well prepared for the course	0	0	0	7	9	0	0.5	4.6		
5. Interest in helping students learn	0	0	1	8	7	0	0.6	4.4		
10. Willingness to help students	0	0	0	7	9	0	0.5	4.6		
B. Facilitating Student Learning										
4. Explained the subject clearly	0	0	1	8	7	0	0.6	4.4		
6. Stimulated thinking about the subject	0	0	2	8	6	0	0.7	4.3		
7. Made helpful comments on student work	0	0	0	8	8	0	0.5	4.5		
8. Grading procedures fair and equitable	0	0	0	7	9	0	0.5	4.6		
9. Realized when students did not understand	0	0	3	8	5	0	0.7	4.1		

Instructor's Description of Class

A. Type of class	Lecture
B. Class size	About right
C. Physical facilities	Satisfactory
D. Previously taught this course?	4 or more
E. Approach significantly different this term?	
F. Description of teaching load?	Very heavy
G. Attitude toward teaching this course	I didn't mind- was neutral
H. Control of course decisions	Yes- I was responsible for all decisions
I. Differences in student preparation	A minor problem
J. Student enthusiasm	Moderate; neither high nor low
K. Student effort to learn	Variable; sometimes high, sometimes low
L. Additional comments?	No additional comments

¹ STANDARD DEVIATION

² RELATIVE TO KSU CLASSES RATED BY 10 OR MORE STUDENTS: H=UPPER 10%; HM=NEXT 20%; M=MIDDLE 40%; LM=NEXT 20%; L=LOWEST 10%

³ ADJUSTED FOR STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS & CLASS SIZE: SEE TEVAL GUIDE



Center for the Advancement of Teaching and Learning | Kansas State University

Faculty Member: Murdie, Amanda

College: Arts & Sciences

Course #: POLSC 333

Term: Spring 2011

Hr./Days: 0 Online Distance Courses

DIS	ance Courses								
		1	2	3	4	5	OMIT	SD	AVG
1.1	Responsiveness to your communications and correspondence.	0	0	1	2	13	0	0.6	4.8
1.2	Timely return of graded materials.	0	0	1	3	12	0	0.6	4.7
1.3	Enthusiasm for distance learning.	0	0	2	4	10	0	0.7	4.5
1.4	Ability to create an environment that is conducive to learning and sharing.	0	0	3	3	10	0	0.8	4.4
1.5	Effective use of technology to deliver course content.	0	0	2	5	9	0	0.7	4.4
1.6	Effective use of technology to encourage communication and discussion.	0	0	2	4	10	0	0.7	4.5
	1 = Very Low 2 = Low 3 = Medium 4 = H	ligh	5 = Ver	y High					
		1	2	3	4	5	OMIT	SD	AVG
2.1	Your enthusiasm for distance learning.	0	2	5	4	5	0	1.0	3.8
2.2	Your effort to prepare for this distance learning course (for example completing the readiness test for your computer, reading your Course Information Packet, and becoming familiar with the syllabus).	0	1	4	6	5	0	0.9	3.9
	1 = Very Low 2 = Low 3 = Medium 4 = H	ligh	5 = Ver	y High					

Additional Comments
• Thank you very much for this class. It was alot of fun to just sit and listen to your lectures. They were very clear and thorough. would have liked to take the class this semester and hear what you had to say about the Middle East and Japan. Thanks for the fun class.
• Very effective teacher. Stayed involved the whole time and was very quick and enthusiastic with her responses.
 best course delivery out of the five i had this semester.
• The only issue I had with this course was that during the videos it was frustrating when in-class discussions happened. The distance student sits through basically silence on the video for a significant portion of the lecture. In a 90 minute lecture, 15 minutes would be waiting on the discussion. Other than that, this has been fantastic! Thank you!
I have really enjoyed this course
• nice
 I would like to see this class opened up so you can work at your own pace. I knew the end of the semester would be really busy for me so I was hoping I could work ahead in this class. Allowing student to work at their own pace would be my suggestion.
• You did a great job commenting on work and making clear what you expected of us. I really like the way you taught this class.